## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

D:	af C =41-	Carolina
District	of South	i Carolina

Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010    Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)    Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)    Upon motion in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,    TT IS ORDERED that the motion is:			
-versus- ) USM No: 14022-171   Michael A. Meetze   Defendant's Attorney    Lemar Cornelius Franklin   Defendant's Attorney    Lemar Cornelius Franklin   Defendant's Attorney    Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010   O(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable    Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant in possed on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant in possed on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant in possed on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States In 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant in possed on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States District Judge Effective Date:	United States of America	)	Case No: 4:06-cr-207-006 (TLW)
-versus- ) Michael A. Meetze  Lemar Cornelius Franklin ) Defendant's Attorney  Lemar Cornelius Franklin ) Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010 (Use Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010 ) (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable )  Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  Strry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature		)	·
Lemar Cornelius Franklin    Jak/a Haitian Black	-versus-	)	
Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010    Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)    Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)    Upon motion in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,    TT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		)	Defendant's Attorney
Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010  (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable  Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED (Doc.#641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	Lemar Cornelius Franklin	)	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons that the subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 94(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable.  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts 1 and 11 of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  Struct Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten United States District Judge	a/k/a Haitian Black	)	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  The Director of the Bureau of Prisons that the subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 94(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable.  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts 1 and 11 of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  Struct Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten United States District Judge		)	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  □ DENIED. ■ GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012 s/Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	Date of Previous Judgment: August 6, 2010	)	
Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable	)	
for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG § 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. ■ GRANTED (Doc.# 641) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  Stry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date:  Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	Order Regarding Motion fo	or Sentence Re	duction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
DENIED.	for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imp and made retroactive by the United States Sente motion, and taking into account the policy sta	osed based on a encing Commiss atement set forth	guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered ion pursuant to $28U.S.C.~\S~994(u)$ , and having considered such
of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of one hundred sixty (160) months is reduced to one hundred forty-four (144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
(144) months. In the event this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  s/ Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	□ DENIED. <b>■</b> GRANT	<u>ED</u> (Doc.# 641	) as outlined herein. Defendant's previously imposed sentence
to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  (Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  s/Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge			
(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted.)  Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/ Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge		than the amount	t of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced
Except as provided above, all provisions of the original judgment filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  s/ Terry L. Wooten Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	(Complete Par	ts I and II of Pa	ge 2 when motion is granted.)
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: July 2, 2012  S/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge			
Order Date: July 2, 2012  s/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	Except as provided above, all provisions of the	e original judgr	nent filed October 16, 2007 shall remain in effect.
Effective Date: Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	Order Date: <u>July 2, 2012</u>		
	Effective Date:		Terry L. Wooten, United States District Judge
	(if different from order date)		